Inflation with teleparallelism: Can torsion generate primordial fluctuations without local Lorentz symmetry?

Yi-Peng Wu[1]
*Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan**
(Dated: September 19, 2016)

Arbitrary generalization to the teleparallel equivalent of general relativity loses local Lorentz invariance to reparametrize the orthonormal coordinate system and gives rise to asymmetry field equations. We investigate consequences of local Lorentz violation to primordial fluctuations in extended single field inflationary models based on the scalar-tensor formulation of the torsion scalar T that effectively includes $f(T)$ gravity as a special case. We show that despite some asymmetry part of the field equations are removed in a spatially homogeneous and isotropic cosmic background, no subhorizon scalar-perturbation mode can survive by the time of horizon crossing. As a result, any scalar field mediated in torsion cannot generate enough primordial density inhomogeneity alone, even if it brings some de Sitter background solutions in generalized teleparallel gravity.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd, 98.80.Jk

arXiv:1609.04959v1 [gr-qc] 16 Sep 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

The generation of small density inhomogeneity in the primoridal Universe is one of the most important predictions of cosmic inflation. In the single field inflationary paradigm one considers the inflaton to be a canonical scalar field and quantum fluctuations in the inflaton field may convert to classical density perturbations after crossing the Hubble horizon. These inflaton fluctuations simultaneously activate scalar-mode perturbations in the gravitational action, usually addressed by " curvature perturbations" in the language of Einstein's general relativity (GR). The gauge invariant observable ζ for curvature perturbations is so far in well agreement with a Gaussian and nearly but not exactly flat spectrum [1].

Expanding the full action of the inflationary model in terms of small perturbations is a powerful approach for computing the spectrum or higher-order correlation functions of ζ [2]. In this approach it is convenient to perform a spacetime splitting with respect to a unit time-like vector $n_\mu = (-N, \mathbf{0})$, where components of n_μ is determined by the metric in the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) formalism [3]:

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + h_{ij}(dx^i + N^i dt)(dx^j + N^j dt). \quad (1)$$

When choosing the uniform field gauge of the inflaton, one can reparametrize the induced metric of the spatial hypersurface as $h_{ij} = a^2(e^{2\zeta}\delta_{ij} + \gamma_{ij})$, where $a(t)$ is the scale factor of the homogeneous background and γ_{ij} parametrizes the transverse-traceless tensor fluctuation. Both N and N^i are treated as Lagrange multipliers and can be solved in terms of ζ and its time derivative from the hamiltonian and momentum constraints.

It shall be noticed that the ADM decomposition (1) assumes no particular spacetime connection that defines the rules of parallel transformations of the spacetime vectors. From this perspective similar attempts have been made in [4] to compute the primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models based on Einstein's other description of GR constructed under teleparallelism [5] (see also [6–9]). In the teleparallel description of gravity, the dynamical variables are living inside the vierbein field instead of the metric, and the spacetime curvature tensor vanishes mandatorily so that only "torsion perturbations" can be invoked by the inflaton field.

Driving inflation without an explicit scalar field strongly motivates the study on modified Lagrangian density of gravity. In the curvature version of GR, zero-momentum de Sitter solutions are found to exist in the nonlinear extension of the Einstein-Hilbert action [10, 11], which is now subject to a specific $f(R)$ gravity (see [12, 13] for a review). It is well-known that $f(R)$ gravity can be cast into some particular types of the Brans-Dicke theory [14, 15], where one may identify an auxiliary scalar field (also called "scalaron" [10]) to realize inflation and generate primordial fluctuations. By virtue of the scalar-tensor correspondence [12], we will refer $f(R)$ inflation to the extended single field inflationary scenario [16].

On the other hand, de Sitter background solutions are found to exist in the nonlinear modification to the teleparallel equivalent of GR [17–19] (or simply $f(T)$ gravity, see [20] and references therein) and in the extended single field model with a nonminimal coupling to the torsion scalar T [21, 22]. Similarly, $f(T)$ gravity is recast into a specific class of scalar-tensor theory with respect to the torsion scalar [23], such that we can study the inflationary fluctuations in a unified manner based on the general scalar-tensor setup within teleparallelism. In this work we aim to recognize whether the torsion in-

[1] Current address: Research Center for the Early Universe (RESCEU), Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
* Electronic address: ypwu@resceu.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

duced scalaron is a suitable candidate for inflaton. We improve the parametrization for vierbein variables in [4] and perform a research independent to the metric perturbation approach [19, 24].

Due to the presence of local Lorentz violation in nonlinear teleparallelism [23, 29], generalized teleparallel gravity is essentially unhealthy unless closely recovers the limit of GR [31–33]. Even if a simple cosmic background is assumed, local Lorentz symmetry breaking will deny the coordinate reparametrization in the orthonormal frame from removing some dynamical variables, and thus additional field equations must arise to account for those non-vanished degrees of freedom. However, consequences of additionally induced field equations in cosmological perturbations were not addressed in previous study [4, 19, 24–27]. In this work, we scrutinize implications of these unusual equations of motion. We show that the asymmetry part of the field equations, once exists, always suppress the generation of the gauge invariant perturbation ζ in the general scalar-tensor formulation of teleparallel gravity.

II. TELEPARALLEL INFLATION

Teleparallel gravity naturally defines vectors and tensors in the orthonormal frame [30] with bases $\hat{e}_A$ satisfying $\hat{e}_A \cdot \hat{e}_B = \eta_{AB}$, where $\eta_{AB} = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. The orthonormal bases are related to the general coordinate bases through the vierbein field $e_A{}^\mu$ as $\hat{e}_A = e_A{}^\mu \partial_\mu$. The spacetime metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{AB} e^A{}_\mu e^B{}_\nu \tag{2}$$

is given by the dual vierbein $e^A{}_\mu$, where $e^A{}_\mu e_A{}^\nu = \delta^\nu_\mu$ and $e_A{}^\mu e^B{}_\mu = \delta^B_A$. The absolute parallel (zero-curvature) condition $\nabla_\mu e^A{}_\nu = 0$ is achieved by the Weitzenböck connection $\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\nu\mu} = e_A{}^\lambda \partial_\mu e^A{}_\nu$. The Weitzenböck connection is related to the Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\Gamma}^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}$ (the spacetime connection in GR) via

$$\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{\Gamma}^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} + K^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{3}$$

where $K^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(T_\mu{}^\lambda{}_\nu + T_\nu{}^\lambda{}_\mu - T^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu})$ is the contorsion and

$$T^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\nu\mu} - \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} \tag{4}$$

is the torsion tensor in teleparallel gravity.

We expect the standard inflationary perturbations [2] to be recovered in the teleparallel equivalent formulation of GR with the gravitational Lagrangian given by the torsion scalar [5, 8]

$$T = S_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} T^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{5}$$

where $S_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(K^{\mu\nu}{}_\lambda + \delta^\mu_\lambda T^{\alpha\nu}{}_\alpha - \delta^\nu_\lambda T^{\alpha\mu}{}_\alpha)$. Taking the connection decomposition (3) into the torsion scalar, one finds $T = -\bar{R} - 2\bar{\nabla}_\mu T^{\alpha\mu}{}_\alpha$, where $\bar{R}$ is nothing but the curvature scalar in GR and $\bar{\nabla}_\mu$ is the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. Therefore the action $S = \int d^4x\, e\, T$ manifests the Einstein-Hilbert action upto a total divergence, where $e = \sqrt{-g}$.

To retain a general discussion, we consider the action based on the scalar-tensor formulation with respect to the torsion scalar as [22, 31]:

$$S = \int d^4x e \left[\frac{F(\phi)}{2} T + \frac{Z(\phi)}{2} \partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + V(\phi) \right], \tag{6}$$

where ϕ shall serve as the inflaton field. Non-trivial coupling between torsion and a scalar field is also seen by the higher-dimensional teleparallel gravity [34, 35]. The action (6) can mimic the dynamics of $f(T)$ gravity according to the reformulation: $F(\phi) = \phi \equiv df/dT$, $Z(\phi) = 0$ and $V(\phi) = f(T(\phi)) - \phi T(\phi)$, provided a sufficient condition $d^2f/dT^2 \neq 0$ [23]. On the other hand, the model with a nonminimal coupling to the torsion scalar [28] is recovered by the choice $F(\phi) = 1 + 2\xi\phi^2$, $Z(\phi) = 1$.

We express the field equations in the general coordinate bases, and the components are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &F(\phi) G_{\mu\nu} - 2F_\phi S_{\mu\nu}{}^\lambda \partial_\lambda\phi \\ &\quad = g_{\mu\nu}\left[\frac{Z(\phi)}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 + V(\phi) \right] - Z(\phi)\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor defined in GR and $F_\phi \equiv dF/d\phi$. In Eq. (7), the manipulation $G_\mu{}^\nu = e^A_\mu G_A{}^\nu$, where $G_A{}^\nu = 2e^{-1}\partial_\mu(e e^\lambda_A S_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu}) - 2e^\lambda_A T^\rho{}_{\mu\lambda} S_\rho{}^{\nu\mu} - e^\nu_A T/2$ has been used. The equation of motion for ϕ is

$$Z(\phi)\bar{\Box}\phi + \frac{1}{2}Z_\phi(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{F_\phi}{2}T - V_\phi = 0, \tag{8}$$

where $\bar{\Box} \equiv \bar{\nabla}^\mu\bar{\nabla}_\mu$. The clear evidence of the local Lorentz violation in the action (6) is the existence of the antisymmetry part of (7) (see the discussion in [29]), which reads

$$F_\phi \left(S_{\mu\nu}{}^\lambda - S_{\nu\mu}{}^\lambda \right) \partial_\lambda\phi = 0. \tag{9}$$

The presence of Eq.(9) indicates that the total components of the field equations are 16, responsible to all the 16 components in the vierbein field e^A_μ.

It is noteworthy that some of the 6 components released due to the local Lorentz violation may not finally become physical degrees of freedom. The reason is that, in some cases, the local Lorentz group may not entirely broken and some degrees of freedom can be removed by the unbroken sub-symmetry group [36]. This is indeed the case with the background vierbein choice

$$e^A_\mu = \mathrm{diag}(1, a, a, a), \tag{10}$$

following the homogeneous and isotropic cosmological principle. One shall keep in mind that generalized teleparallel gravity admits a sudden transition of the homogeneous and isotropic background to any inhomogeneous or anisotropic kinds [31]. For convenience, let us

assume that such transition does not happen during the epoch of inflation.

With the background choice (10), the antisymmetry equations (9) vanish identically and the field equations (7) are given by

$$3H^2F = \frac{Z}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V, \tag{11}$$

$$-(2\dot{H} + 3H^2)F = \frac{Z}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V + 2HF_\phi\dot{\phi}, \tag{12}$$

where $T = 6H^2$. The background equation of motion for ϕ is

$$Z\left(\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi}\right) + \frac{Z_\phi}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + 3H^2F_\phi + V_\phi = 0. \tag{13}$$

It is not our goal to build up a new inflation model, but we can easily show the existence of de Sitter background solutions in the extended single-field theroy (6) (see also [17–19, 22]). For example, let us consider $Z = c_1$ and $V = c_2F$, where c_1 and c_2 are some constants. We then combine the Friedmann euqations (11) and (12) to obtain

$$(3H^2 - c_2)F = \frac{c_1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2, \tag{14}$$

$$-2\dot{H}F = (c_1\dot{\phi} + 2HF_\phi)\dot{\phi}, \tag{15}$$

and the equation of motion (13) may be rewritten as

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{F_\phi}{2F}\dot{\phi}^2 = 0. \tag{16}$$

Assuming $c_2 > 0$, one simply check that $(H, \phi) = (\pm\sqrt{c_2/3}, \phi_*)$ with an arbitrary constant ϕ_* are solutions for the above equations (14), (15) and (16). In particular, it is straightforward to perform the perturbations $H = H_* + \delta H$, $\phi = \phi_* + \delta\phi$ around the fixed points to find that $H_* = \sqrt{c_2/3}$ is a stable de Sitter solution. Since $F(\phi)$ approaches to a constant toward the fixed point, the stable de Sitter attractor $(H, \phi) = (H_*, \phi_*)$ satisfies the viable conditions considered in [22].

III. PRIMORDIAL FLUCTUATIONS

Let us now compute the cosmological perturbations by virtue of the ADM formalism (1). To our purpose, we will parametrize the 16 variables in the vierbein field in a separate way. The first step is to identify a primary vierbein with respect to the homogeneous choice (10) that satisfies the condition (2) for the ADM metric (1). A suitable solution is found in [4] of the form

$$\bar{e}^0{}_\mu = (N, \mathbf{0}) \ , \quad \bar{e}^a{}_\mu = (N^a, h^a{}_i) \ ,$$
$$\bar{e}_0{}^\mu = (1/N, -N^i/N) \ , \quad \bar{e}_a{}^\mu = (0, h_a{}^i) \ , \tag{17}$$

where $N^a \equiv N^i h^a{}_i$. Here $h_{ij} = \eta_{ab}h^a{}_i h^b{}_j$ manifests the induced metric of the 3-surface, so that $h^a{}_i$ is a possible choice of the induced vierbein (the dreibein hereafter). The representation of $\bar{e}^A{}_\mu$ in (17) is specially chosen such that $\bar{e}_0{}^\mu$ coincides with the unit vector $n^\mu = (1/N, -N^i/N)$, where only dynamical variables responsible to the metric are shown. In particular, one can parametrize ζ and γ in $h^a{}_i$ to arbitrary order of interest.

The next step is to put together the components corresponding to the local Lorentz invariance. Schematically, we have decomposed the vierbein with respect to the infinitesimal Lorentz transformation $\Lambda^A{}_B(x) = (e^\omega)^A{}_B = \delta^A_B + \omega^A{}_B + \frac{1}{2}\omega^A{}_C\omega^C{}_B + ...$ as

$$e^A{}_\mu = (e^\omega)^A{}_B\bar{e}^B{}_\mu = {}^{(0)}e^A{}_\mu + {}^{(1)}e^A{}_\mu + ..., \tag{18}$$

where $\omega_{AB} = -\omega_{BA}$ and $\bar{e}^A{}_\mu$ is given by (17).

If the local Lorentz symmetry is broken, then ω^A_B is no longer the transformation matrix but rather than the "Goldstone modes" of the symmetry breaking. We may parametrize these modes as

$$\omega^0{}_B = (0, \omega_b) \quad , \quad \omega^a{}_B = (\omega^a, B^a{}_b), \tag{19}$$

where $\omega^a = \eta^{ab}\omega_b$ and $B_{ab} + B_{ba} = 0$. We then define the spatial vector $\omega^i = \omega^a h_a{}^i$ and the antisymmetric spatial tensor $B_{ij} = B_{ab}h^a{}_i h^b{}_j$.

Since ω^A_B satisfies $\eta_{AB} = \eta_{CD}(e^\omega)^C{}_A(e^\omega)^D{}_B$, Eq. (2) implies that ω^a and $B^a{}_b$ can not contribute to the metric and the curvature scalar, which is given by

$$\bar{R} = \bar{R}^3 + \bar{\Sigma}^{ij}\bar{\Sigma}_{ij} - \bar{\Sigma}^2 - 2\bar{\nabla}_\mu(n^\mu\bar{\Sigma}) - \frac{2}{N}\bar{\Delta}N, \tag{20}$$

where $\bar{\Sigma}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N}(\dot{h}_{ij} - \bar{\nabla}_i N_j - \bar{\nabla}_j N_i)$ is defined in the same way as the extrinsic curvature in GR, while $\bar{\Delta} \equiv h^{ij}\bar{D}_i\bar{D}_j$ and $\bar{D}_i$ is the 3-covariant derivative with respect to the 3-Levi-Civita connection ${}^3\bar{\Gamma}^i{}_{jk}$.

Using the projection tensor $\perp_\mu{}^\nu = h_\mu{}^\nu$, where $h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + n_\mu n_\nu$, we can obtain the induced connection in the 3-surface through the definition $D_iA_j \equiv \perp_i{}^\mu \perp_j{}^\nu \nabla_\mu A_\nu$ for an arbitrary vector A_μ lies in the 3-surface ($n^\mu A_\mu = 0$). Taking the zeroth order vierbein (17) into calculation, we find that ${}^3\Gamma^i{}_{jk} = h_a{}^i\partial_k h^a{}_j$ is a 3-Weitzenböck connection so that the intrinsic curvarture of the 3-surface is zero (namely it is a teleparallel hypersurface). Note that the zero-curvature condition of the 3-surface holds at any-order expansion of the vierbein with respect to ω^A_B. This is acheived through a redefinition of the dreibein $\tilde{h}^a{}_i \equiv (e^B)^a{}_b h^b{}_i$, where one can check that $h_{ij} = \eta_{ab}\tilde{h}^a{}_i\tilde{h}^b{}_j = \eta_{ab}h^a{}_i h^b{}_j$.

In order to compute the quadratic action, we shall consider the expanded vierbein (18) upto second order in ω^A_B. In terms of the parametrization (19), the first order expansion reads

$${}^{(1)}e^0{}_\mu = (N^a\omega_a, \omega_i) \ , \ {}^{(1)}e^a{}_\mu = (N\omega^a + N^b B^a{}_b, B^a{}_i), \tag{21}$$

$${}^{(1)}e_0{}^\mu = (0, \ -\omega^i) \ , \ {}^{(1)}e_a{}^\mu = (-\frac{\omega_a}{N}, \ B_a{}^i + \frac{N^i}{N}\omega_a). \tag{22}$$

The second order expansion takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{(2)}e^0{}_0 &= \frac{1}{2}N\omega_a\omega^a + \frac{1}{2}N^b\omega_c B^c{}_b,\\
{}^{(2)}e^0{}_i &= \frac{1}{2}\omega_a B^a{}_i,\\
{}^{(2)}e^a{}_0 &= \frac{1}{2}N^b\omega^a\omega_b + \frac{1}{2}NB^a{}_b\omega^b + \frac{1}{2}N^b B^a{}_c B^c{}_b,\\
{}^{(2)}e^a{}_i &= \frac{1}{2}\omega^a\omega_i + \frac{1}{2}B^a{}_c B^c{}_i,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the dual field components are

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{(2)}e_0{}^0 &= \frac{1}{2N}\omega_a\omega^a,\\
{}^{(2)}e_0{}^i &= -\frac{N^i}{2N}\omega_a\omega^a - \frac{1}{2}\omega_b B^{bi},\\
{}^{(2)}e_a{}^0 &= -\frac{1}{2N}B_{ab}\omega^b,\\
{}^{(2)}e_a{}^i &= \frac{1}{2}\omega_a\omega^i + \frac{1}{2}B_{ab}B^{bi} + \frac{N^i}{2N}B_{ac}\omega^c.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is convenient to use $D_i\omega_j = \partial_i\omega_j - {}^3\Gamma^l{}_{ji}\omega_l = h^a{}_j\partial_i\omega_a$. Together with (20), we can write down the second order action of (6) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{(2)}S = \int dtd^3x\, N\sqrt{h}\Big\{ &\frac{F(\phi)}{2}T \\
&+ \frac{Z(\phi)}{2}[h^{ij}\partial_i\phi\partial_j\phi - (n^\mu\partial_\mu\phi)^2] + V(\phi)\Big\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{23}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
T = &-\bar{R}^3 + \bar{\Sigma}^2 - \bar{\Sigma}^{ij}\bar{\Sigma}_{ij} + \frac{2}{N}\bar{\Delta}N - \frac{2}{N}\bar{D}_i(h^{ij}NT^\alpha_{j\alpha})\\
&- 2\bar{\nabla}_\mu\left[n^\mu D_i\omega^i + \frac{n^\mu}{N}D_i(N^b B^i{}_b)\right]\\
&- \bar{\nabla}_\mu\left[n^\mu(B^{ij}D_j\omega_i + \omega_j D_i B^{ij})\right] + ...
\end{aligned}
$$

Those unlisted terms above are higher-order contributions, keeping in mind that N^i and $\partial_i N$ are at least first order perturbations.

Let us examine the first order solution of N and N^i in the uniform field gauge where $\delta\phi = 0$ with the parametrization of the dreibein $h^a_i = ae^\zeta\delta^a_i$ (the general coordinate is now completely fixed). For this purpose we only need to focus on the scalar and vector perturbations and keep γ^{ij} and the three modes in B^{ij} (which are pseudoscalar and pseudovector modes [27]) aside. The resulting hamiltonian and momentum constraints from (23) are

$$
F(\bar{R}^3 + \bar{\Sigma}^2 - \bar{\Sigma}^{ij}\bar{\Sigma}_{ij}) - \frac{Z}{N^2}\dot{\phi}^2 - 2V = 0, \tag{24}
$$

$$
\bar{D}_i(\bar{\Sigma}\delta^i{}_j - \bar{\Sigma}^i{}_j) = 0. \tag{25}
$$

Taking $N = 1 + N_1$ and $N^i = \partial^i\psi + N^i_T$ with $\partial_i N^i_T = 0$, we find that $N^i_T = 0$ and that

$$
N_1 = \frac{\dot{\zeta}}{H},\ \ \psi = \frac{-\zeta}{2a^2H} + \chi,\ \ \partial^2\chi = \frac{Z\dot{\phi}^2\dot{\zeta}}{2H^2F}. \tag{26}
$$

We can now solve the equation of motion for ω^i and B^{ij} by using the results (26). To perform the variation with respect to ω^i and B^{ij}, it is convenient to use the relation $D_i\omega^i = \bar{D}_i\omega^i + {}^3K^i{}_{ji}\omega^j$, where ${}^3K^i{}_{jk}$ is the 3-contorsion defined with respect to the 3-torsion ${}^3T^i{}_{jk} = {}^3\Gamma^i{}_{kj} - {}^3\Gamma^i{}_{jk}$ on the 3-surface. The resulting equations from variation of the action (23) are

$$
{}^3T^j{}_{ji}F_\phi = h_a{}^j(\partial_j h^a_i - \partial_i h^a{}_j)F_\phi = 0, \tag{27}
$$

$$
(\partial_j\omega_i - \partial_i\omega_j)\,F_\phi = 0, \tag{28}
$$

at first order in the uniform field gauge. One can check that these equations are identical to the linearized equations of (9).

If $F_\phi = 0$, both Eq. (27) and Eq. (28) vanish as the theory converge to the GR limit. This was already pointed out in Ref. [25, 26]. Since the kinetic term $Z(\partial\phi)^2$ can be canonicalized through a redefinition of ϕ, the solutions (26) result in a standard quadratic action of the single field inflation model [2] up to a constant F. In general cases where $F_\phi \neq 0$, the decomposition $\omega^i = \partial^i\alpha + \omega^i_T$ with $\partial_i\omega^i_T = 0$ then leads to the solution $\omega^i_T = 0$ from (28). The gradient of Eq. (27) gives $F_\phi\partial^2\zeta = 0$, which implies $k^2\zeta_k = 0$, where ζ_k denotes a non-zero Fourier mode of ζ. Since the wavenumber k is arbitrary, we find an unexpected result $\zeta_k = 0$ for each $k \in (0, k_*]$, where k_* denotes some cutoff scale well inside the horizon [37] (and the zero-mode solution $\zeta = \zeta_0(t)$ is always rescaled into the scale factor). Taking $\zeta = 0$ into Eq. (8), one then finds that $\alpha = 0$. One can see an obvious discontinuity in the primordial fluctuations with the presence of an amazingly small F_ϕ. To smooth the solution in the limit $F_\phi \to 0$, we impose a possible choice of the cutoff as $k_* = \sqrt{|F_\phi|}\,k_{end}$, where k_{end} is the horizon scale at the end of inflation.

We remark that the 3-torsion ${}^3T^i_{jk} = h_a{}^j(\partial_j h^a_i - \partial_i h^a{}_j)$ is indeed a tensor form of the dreibein field on the 3-surface so that any non-diagonal background choice given by a spatial rotation of the dreibien will not change the form of Eq. (27). As a result, the 3-torsion (and therefore the scalar perturbation ζ) must vanish if $F_\phi \neq 0$.

The other convenient gauge for computation is the spatially flat slicing in which the general coordinate is fixed such that $h^a_i = a\,\delta^a_i$ and $\delta\phi = \delta\phi(t, x)$. In this gauge N and N^i are solved as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&N_1 = \frac{Z\dot{\phi}}{2HF}\delta\phi, \quad N^i_T = 0, \\
&\partial^2\psi = -\frac{Z\dot{\phi}^2}{2H^2F}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}}\delta\phi\right) + \frac{3HF_\phi}{F}\delta\phi.
\end{aligned}
\tag{29}
$$

Similarly, the linearized equation of motion for ω^i and B^{ij} (or Eq. (9)) gives

$$
\partial_i\left(Nn^\mu\partial_\mu F\right) = 0, \tag{30}
$$

$$
(\partial_j\omega_i - \partial_i\omega_j)\,n^\mu\partial_\mu F = 0, \tag{31}
$$

which indicates $\delta\phi = \delta\phi_0(t)$, $\omega^i_T = 0$ if $F_\phi \neq 0$. Therefore, any subhorizon mode of $\delta\phi_k$ at the onset of inflation

is eliminated when stretched toward the horizon scale unless $F_\phi = 0$.

It is now clear that the gauge invariant variable

$$\zeta = \varphi - \frac{H}{\dot{F}}\delta F, \tag{32}$$

where φ parametrizes the diagonal scalar mode in h_{ij}, is at best a homogeneous solution in either the uniform field gauge ($\delta\phi = 0$) or in the spatially flat gauge ($\varphi = 0$) unless F is a constant, due to the constrain equation (9). Indeed, this result is consistent with observations in the Newtonian gauge (that is to set $\psi = 0$ and $h^a_{\ i} = ae^{\varphi}\,\delta^a_{\ i}$ in our notation) where one obtains $\varphi = H\delta\phi/\dot{\phi}$ for non-zero modes in the $f(T)$ gravity [38] as well as the model of nonminimal coupling $F(\phi) = 1 + 2\xi\phi^2$ [39].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we considered the generation of primordial fluctuations in the extended single field inflationary scenario based on a scalar-tensor formulation of teleparallel gravity which effectively includes $f(T)$ theory as a special case. Let us summarize the fate of the $16 + 1$ perturbation variables reside in $e^A_{\ \mu}$ and ϕ under the idealized homogeneous and isotropic background (10). We always remove 4 variables via the general coordinate invariance and we can apply the hamiltonian and momentum constraints to solve the other 4 variables in N and N^i. We have put aside the two tensor degrees of freedom for separate discussion. In fact, by taking the linear parametrization $h^a_{\ i} = a(\delta^a_{\ i} + \gamma^a_{\ i}/2)$ for the tensor variable, one can observe that $\gamma_{ij} = \eta_{ab}(\delta^a_{\ i}\gamma^b_{\ j} + \delta^b_{\ j}\gamma^a_{\ i})/2$ only involves in $\bar{R}^3$ and $\bar{\Sigma}_{ij}$ in the action (23). Therefore, the quadratic action for γ is the identical to that of the Einstein-Hilbert action upto the factor F.

The equation of motion (8) helps to replace one scalar mode by the others so that there are now 6 modes left for the 6 asymmetry field equations (9). If F is evolving with time, three of the 6 remaining variables are eliminated by the asymmetry field equations (9), which are accounted by a scalar mode (that is ζ or $\delta\phi$, depending on the gauge) and a transverse vector mode (ω^i_T). Nevertheless, owing to the background choice (10), we notice that the equation of motion for the other three variables inside B_{ij} never shows up. Further investigation beyond linear perturbation level is required, given that those modes released by local Lorentz violation are found to be pathological in non-trivial spacetime background [31–33]. Therefore if there exists any higher-order coupling between B_{ij} and other dynamical modes it would jeopardize the theory even if the sudden background transition has been omitted, as a priori assumption.

After clarifying all the equations of motion, we conclude that the general teleparallel scalar-tensor theory only admits zero-momentum solutions for the scalaron, and the extended single field inflationary model (6) exhibits no dynamical perturbation modes other than the tensor fluctuations unless converging to the GR limit. In particular, the modified Lagrangian $f(T)$ itself is not enough to explain the horizon problem, the flatness problem and the origin of the structure of the Universe at once. This is a drastically different prediction from the well-known result given by $f(R)$ (or $f(\bar{R})$ according to our notations) theories [10, 13]. To realize a suitable initial state of the Universe, one may consider to restore the local Lorentz symmetry to get rid of the asymmetry field equations [40], or to introduce some extra matter to trigger the scalar perturbation ζ [19]. In the former case successful primordial fluctuations will be promised by using the proper covariant formulation of generalized teleparallel gravity [40], while in the latter case one would have to go beyond the single field inflationary paradigm. We remark that any additional matter will not involve in the asymmetry field equations (9), if it is protected by local Lorentz symmetry (as the scenario considered in [17–19]). As shows in the flat slicing, one can see that if Eq. (30) is unchanged then the solution $\delta\phi_k = 0$ persists.

Finally we note that no trivial Einstein frame of the generalized teleparallel gravity (6) is avalible through a conformal transformation where the scalar field is fully decoupled with torsion. For instance, by taking $\hat{e}^A_{\ \mu} = \sqrt{F}e^A_{\ \mu}$ for the vierbein, we can reach a minimally coupled torsion scalar $\hat{T}$ in the new frame but earn the other scalar-torsion coupling $\hat{T}^{\rho}_{\ \rho\mu}\hat{\partial}^{\mu}\hat{\phi}$ at the same time [41], where $d\hat{\phi} \equiv \sqrt{6}dF/(2F)$. This scalar-torsion coupling in the new frame leads to asymmetry field equations [4], and they once again restrict the generation of any non-zero scalar-perturbation mode during inflation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Chao-Qiang Geng, Chung-Chi Lee, Tsutomu Kobayashi, Martin Krššák, and James Nester for helpful discussions and also acknowledges the hospitality of National Center for Theoretical Sciences (NCTS) on the early development of this work.

[1] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1502.01589 [astro-ph.CO]; P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1502.02114 [astro-ph.CO]; P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1502.01592 [astro-ph.CO].

[2] J. M. Maldacena, JHEP **0305**, 013 (2003) [astro-ph/0210603].

[3] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner, in Gravitation: an introduction to current research (Chap. 7), 1962, pp. 227 - 265.

[4] Y. P. Wu and C. Q. Geng, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 104058 (2012) [arXiv:1110.3099 [gr-qc]].

[5] A. Einstein, Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., **18** (1929), p.156-159.

[6] Y. M. Cho, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 2521 (1976).

[7] K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 3524 (1979) Addendum: [Phys. Rev. D **24**, 3312 (1982)].

[8] J. Maluf, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994), 335.

[9] R. Aldrovandi and J. G. Pereira, *Teleparallel Gravity: An Introduction*, Springer, Heidelberg, Germany (2012).

[10] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99-102 (1980).

[11] A. A. Starobinsky and H.-J. Schmidt, Class. Quant. Grav. **4**, 695 (1987).

[12] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 451 (2010) [arXiv:0805.1726 [gr-qc]].

[13] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Living Rev. Rel. **13**, 3 (2010) [arXiv:1002.4928 [gr-qc]].

[14] C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **124**, 925 (1961).

[15] T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla and C. Skordis, Phys. Rept. **513**, 1 (2012) [arXiv:1106.2476 [astro-ph.CO]].

[16] D. La and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 376 (1989) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1066 (1989)].

[17] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 084031 (2007) [gr-qc/0610067].

[18] F. Fiorini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 041104 (2013) [arXiv:1306.4392 [gr-qc]].

[19] K. Rezazadeh, A. Abdolmaleki and K. Karami, JHEP **1601**, 131 (2016) [arXiv:1509.08769 [gr-qc]].

[20] Y. F. Cai, S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis and E. N. Saridakis, arXiv:1511.07586 [gr-qc].

[21] C. Xu, E. N. Saridakis and G. Leon, JCAP **1207**, 005 (2012) [arXiv:1202.3781 [gr-qc]].

[22] L. Jarv and A. Toporensky, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 2, 024051 (2016) [arXiv:1511.03933 [gr-qc]].

[23] T. P. Sotiriou, B. Li and J. D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 104030 (2011) [arXiv:1012.4039 [gr-qc]].

[24] Y. F. Cai, S. H. Chen, J. B. Dent, S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 215011 (2011) [arXiv:1104.4349 [astro-ph.CO]].

[25] S. H. Chen, J. B. Dent, S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 023508 (2011) [arXiv:1008.1250 [astro-ph.CO]].

[26] J. B. Dent, S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, JCAP **1101**, 009 (2011) [arXiv:1010.2215 [astro-ph.CO]].

[27] K. Izumi and Y. C. Ong, JCAP **1306**, 029 (2013) [arXiv:1212.5774 [gr-qc]].

[28] C. Q. Geng, C. C. Lee, E. N. Saridakis and Y. P. Wu, Phys. Lett. B **704**, 384 (2011) [arXiv:1109.1092 [hep-th]]; H. Wei, Phys. Lett. B **712**, 430 (2012) [arXiv:1109.6107 [gr-qc]]; C. Q. Geng, C. C. Lee and E. N. Saridakis, JCAP **1201**, 002 (2012) [arXiv:1110.0913 [astro-ph.CO]]; J. A. Gu, C. C. Lee and C. Q. Geng, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 722 (2013) [arXiv:1204.4048 [astro-ph.CO]]; A. Banijamali and B. Fazlpour, Astrophys. Space Sci. **342**, 229 (2012) [arXiv:1206.3580 [physics.gen-ph]]; H. M. Sadjadi, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 064028 (2013) [arXiv:1302.1180 [gr-qc]]; G. Otalora, JCAP **1307**, 044 (2013) [arXiv:1305.0474 [gr-qc]]; G. Otalora, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 063505 (2013) [arXiv:1305.5896 [gr-qc]]; C. Q. Geng, J. A. Gu and C. C. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 2, 024030 (2013) [arXiv:1306.0333 [astro-ph.CO]]; J. T. Li, Y. P. Wu and C. Q. Geng, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 4, 044040 (2014) [arXiv:1312.4332 [gr-qc]]; G. Otalora, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **25**, no. 02, 1650025 (2015) [arXiv:1402.2256 [gr-qc]]; Y. Kucukakca, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, no. 10, 3086 (2014) [arXiv:1407.1188 [gr-qc]]; Z. C. Chen, Y. Wu and H. Wei, Nucl. Phys. B **894**, 422 (2015) [arXiv:1410.7715 [gr-qc]]; S. Bahamonde and M. Wright, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 8, 084034 (2015) [arXiv:1508.06580 [gr-qc]]; H. Mohseni Sadjadi, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 12, 123538 (2015) [arXiv:1510.02085 [gr-qc]]; H. Mohseni Sadjadi, arXiv:1606.04362 [gr-qc].

[29] B. Li, T. P. Sotiriou and J. D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 064035 (2011) [arXiv:1010.1041 [gr-qc]]; B. Li, T. P. Sotiriou and J. D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 104017 (2011) [arXiv:1103.2786 [astro-ph.CO]].

[30] We use the spacetime indices $\mu, \nu, ...$ for the coordinate and $A, B, ...$ for the orthonormal frame. The lower case indices $i, j, ...$ are for the spatial coordinate and the lower case indices $a, b, ...$ from the beginning of the alphabet are for the spatial components of the orthonormal frame.

[31] K. Izumi, J. A. Gu and Y. C. Ong, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 8, 084025 (2014) [arXiv:1309.6461 [gr-qc]].

[32] Y. C. Ong, K. Izumi, J. M. Nester and P. Chen, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 024019 (2013) [arXiv:1303.0993 [gr-qc]].

[33] P. Chen, K. Izumi, J. M. Nester and Y. C. Ong, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 6, 064003 (2015) [arXiv:1412.8383 [gr-qc]].

[34] C. Q. Geng, L. W. Luo and H. H. Tseng, Class. Quant. Grav. **31**, 185004 (2014) [arXiv:1403.3161 [hep-th]].

[35] C. Q. Geng, C. Lai, L. W. Luo and H. H. Tseng, Phys. Lett. B **737**, 248 (2014) [arXiv:1409.1018 [gr-qc]].

[36] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 6, 064019 (2015) [arXiv:1412.3424 [gr-qc]].

[37] In general, perturbation modes that remain well inside the horizon by the end of inflation may survive since the local Lorentz symmetry is effectively restored in the Minkowski limit where $T \to 0$, see [36].

[38] Y. P. Wu and C. Q. Geng, JHEP **1211**, 142 (2012) [arXiv:1211.1778 [gr-qc]].

[39] C. Q. Geng and Y. P. Wu, JCAP **1304**, 033 (2013) [arXiv:1212.6214 [astro-ph.CO]].

[40] M. Krššák and E. N. Saridakis, Class. Quant. Grav. **33**, no. 11, 115009 (2016) [arXiv:1510.08432 [gr-qc]].

[41] R. J. Yang, Europhys. Lett. **93**, 60001 (2011) [arXiv:1010.1376 [gr-qc]].